UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,949	10/12/2007	Chris G. Dixon	003006-002548	5444
30565 7590 03/30/2011 Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700			EXAMINER	
			SNYDER, MELISSA A	
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketDept@uspatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/593,949	DIXON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melissa A. Snyder	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	ebruary 2009.					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
<i>'</i> =	, -					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
· ·	x parte adayre, 1000 0121 11, 10	,				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2006 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecdrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12192006.	5) Notice of Informal P					
5. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 4, and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 4,940,459, issued to Noce.

Noce discloses a high pressure dispenser (fig 1) for the application of a medicinal mixture to a desired location, the dispenser comprising: a handle 22 a chamber 20, that receives a medicinal mixture coupled to the handle; a threaded region (fig 2) within the handle; a threaded rod 26 in threaded engagement with the threaded region (fig 2); and a knob 46 coupled to the threaded rod; the dispenser characterized in that: the dispenser includes at least one insert-molded component, wherein the at least one insert-molded component includes at least one of a threaded insert 28 providing the threaded region insert-molded into the handle and a threaded rod insert-molded into the knob (col 4 line 31-40.)

Regarding claim 2, Noce discloses the dispenser of claim 1, characterized in that the threaded insert (120) includes metal or plastic (col 4 lines 31-40.)

Regarding claim 4, Noce discloses the dispenser of claim 1, characterized in that the threaded rod (14) includes metal. (col 4 lines 31-40.)

Page 3

Regarding claim 6, Noce discloses the dispenser of claim 1, characterized in that an exterior surface of the chamber 22 comprises at least one notch (opening created by 64) dimensioned to engage with at least one corresponding tab 26 on an exterior surface of the handle.

Regarding claim 7, the dispenser of claim 1, characterized in that the handle (16) comprises four exterior longitudinal sides (fig 2).

Regarding claim 8, Noce discloses the dispenser of claim 1, characterized in that the knob (20) has an axial length approximately equal to or greater than the diameter of the knob (fig 2).

Regarding claim 9, Noce disclose the dispenser of claim 1, characterized in that the knob (20) has at least one longitudinal rectangular cavity (fig 2).

Regarding claim 10, Noce discloses the dispenser of claim I, characterized in that the chamber (18) comprises an interior cylindrical surface (fig 2) and a nozzle (44) in fluid communication, and the interior of the chamber has a concave surface in between the interior cylindrical surface and the nozzle (fig 2.)

Regarding claim 11, Noce discloses the dispenser of claim 1, characterized in that the threaded rod is coupled to a piston 24, the piston is insert molded into the threaded rod (col 4 lines 31-40.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noce.

Regarding claim 5, Noce does not discloses the dispenser of claim 1, characterized in that an exterior surface of the chamber comprises at least one tab dimensioned to

engage with at least one corresponding notch on an exterior surface of the handle. However, he discloses the opposite configure (as disclosed in rejection of claim 6 above.) At the time of applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to provide the claimed configuration, as this is simply a reversal of parts, and provides no criticality over the opposite claimed configuration.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,940,459 to Noce in view of 6,802,824 to Mickley et al.

Noce discloses applicant's basic inventive concept of a dispenser as disclosed above, including a plastic handle, but does not disclose the handle over-molded with soft rubber. Mickley teaches this feature to be old in the medical devices art (8). At the time of applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art to provided rubber over-molded onto the plastic handle to provide a better grip and for increased operator control

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa A. Snyder whose telephone number is (571)272-6486. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,949

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 6

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. A. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 3763

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763